



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

19

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,209	03/31/2004	Susann Marie Keohane	AUS920030932US1	7105
60501	7590	06/07/2007	EXAMINER	
LENOVO COMPANY			WILLIS, RANDAL L	
c/o BIGGERS & OHANIAN, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 1469			2629	
AUSTIN, TX 78767-1469				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/07/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,209	KEOHANE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Randal L. Willis	2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,4-8 and 11-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 2,3,9 and 10 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/31/2004</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to application No. 10/815209 filed March 31st 2004. Claims 1-20 are currently pending and have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 3/31/2004 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2,3,9 and 10 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 15-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant claims a computer

program product, the program not being connected to any physical hardware or device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1,8 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tosey (2004/0125153).

Apropos claim 1,8 and 15, Tosey teaches:

A method, system and program for administration of keyboard input (Fig. 5 and [0018] lines 1-5) in a computer having a display device supporting a graphical user interface ("GUI") ([0002] lines 1-4), the method comprising:

storing keyboard input intended by a user (Keyboard input inherently stored in a buffer for processing) for a second widget when keyboard focus is

on a first widget, wherein the first widget receives no keyboard input ([0019] lines 5-8);

changing keyboard focus to the second widget (Input is routed to corresponding focus, Fig. 5 502); and

providing the stored keyboard input to the second widget (504, Fig. 5).

Apropos claim 4,11 and 17, Tosey teaches:

wherein storing keyboard input intended by a user for a second widget when keyboard focus is on a first widget further comprises reading keyboard input through a keyboard device driver (Input is from a keyboard in a window's environment which inherently has reads through a keyboard device driver [0002] lines 1-4).

Apropos claim 5,12, and 18, Tosey teaches:

wherein storing keyboard input intended by a user for a second widget when keyboard focus is on a first widget further comprises a keyboard device driver's reading input data from a keyboard, including reading the input in the absence of a read request for the keyboard device driver (Input events of multiple types, i.e. mouse or keyboard [0002] line 4, so not just from

keyboard, input is therefore inherently read even without a request from a keyboard device driver).

Apropos claim 6, 13 and 19 Tosey teaches:

wherein changing keyboard focus further comprises changing keyboard focus in response to the user's manipulating at least one user input device (foci utilized only after user input is received [0019] lines 5-8).

Apropos claim 7,14 and 20 Tosey teaches:

wherein the GUI includes a multiplicity of windows (), the method further comprising:

directing keyboard focus to a window having a keyboard focus policy and a multiplicity of widgets including the first widget and the second widget (Inherent in a GUI that uses multiple widgets); and

directing keyboard focus to the first widget in dependence upon the keyboard focus policy of the window (Can navigate through menu, i.e. widgets [0023] lines 12-14).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2629

- a. Takaike 6,002,862 for teaching determining input area depending upon type of input.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randal L. Willis whose telephone number is (571) 270-1461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30am to 5:00pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RLW

AMR A. AWAD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
